arXiv:1610.00992v1 [physics.gen-ph] 20 Sep 2016

Existence of Minkowski space

Serge A. Wagner*

Theoretical Physics Department, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Moscow region 141707

(Dated: October 5, 2016)

Abstract

Physics textbooks present Minkowski space as an almost pure mathematical construct, without any explicit restriction on a domain where it is applicable in physics. Meanwhile, its physical meaning cannot but follow the same premises as those which underlies the special relativity theory: motion of free point particles and propagation of electromagnetic waves. However, the common formalism of coordinate transformations between any two inertial frames appears too ponderous to infer the existence of Minkowski space. For this reason, the time dilation and retardation, the contraction of the length along and the spatial invariance across the direction of relative motion of two frames are presented in a coordinate-free manner. This results in the transformation between two frames in the form of relationships between the time moments and the components of the position vector of a given event, along and across the directions of the frames' motion.

The obtained transformation rules for the components of the position vector differ from the vector-like relationship known in the literature because the latter actually deals with column vectors made of Cartesian coordinates of true vectors and appears identical to the so called boost coordinate transformation, which is quite irrelevant to the question under consideration.

The direct calculations prove that the transformation of true position vectors establishes an equivalence relation between column vectors made of the time moment and the position vector of a given event in each frame. This means the existence of Minkowski space, into which the set of all events is mapped. The unavoidable intricacy of that proof suggests that the extension of the full concept of Minkowski space beyond motions of point particles, capable of short-range interaction only, is hardly possible.

* s_wagner@mail.ru

I. MOTIVATION

It is easy to see that for the matrix

$$\mathbb{L}(v) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\gamma v/c & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma v/c & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

of (the original form of) Lorentz transformation one has

$$\mathbb{L}(0) = \mathbb{I} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity matrix,

$$\left[\mathbb{L}\left(v_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\right)\right]^{-1} = \mathbb{L}\left(v_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right), \quad v_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)} = -v_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}\left(v_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right) = \mathbb{L}\left(v_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)\mathbb{L}\left(v_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right), \quad v_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)} = \frac{v_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)} + v_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}}{1 + v_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)} v_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}/c^2}. \tag{4}$$

Here (and hereafter) c is the speed of light, $\gamma \equiv \gamma(v) \equiv (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$.

As a transformation matrix, $\mathbb{L}(v)$ leads us to a relation

$$\vec{\rho}^{\rm (B)} = \mathbb{L}\left(v_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\right)\vec{\rho}^{\rm (A)} \tag{5}$$

between the column vectors

$$\vec{\rho}^{\rm (A)} = \begin{pmatrix} ct^{\rm (A)} \\ x^{\rm (A)} \\ y^{\rm (A)} \\ z^{\rm (A)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\rho}^{\rm (B)} = \begin{pmatrix} ct^{\rm (B)} \\ x^{\rm (B)} \\ y^{\rm (B)} \\ z^{\rm (B)} \end{pmatrix}$$

made of time and Cartesian coordinates of a given event as observed in the inertial coordinate systems A and B, respectively.

Eq. (3) shows that the axes of the systems are 'aligned'[1], so that the velocity of A with respect to B appears to be along the x axis of B while the velocity of B with respect to A appears opposite to the direction of the x axis of A. The 'alignment' of this kind cannot be substantiated or consistently introduced on the basis of spatial geometry alone but requires

a set of free bodies that move with various velocities. As far as observers find the velocities of such bodies parallel or antiparallel to each other it allows one to exploit that common direction of their motion, which can be called a boost direction, as an element of one's reasoning (see Section II B for a full introduction to the concept of a boost direction.)

Eqs. (2)-(4) means that the transformation (5) provides a reflexive, symmetric and transitive relation between the descriptions of a physical event in any two coordinate systems A and B 'aligned' with respect to a given boost direction. In other words, there is an equivalence relation $\vec{\rho}^{(\mathrm{A})} \backsim \vec{\rho}^{(\mathrm{B})}$. One can denote all the equivalent elements with a symbol $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and call it a 4-vector of an event.

Since (5) is a linear relationship, it actually generates the whole linear space of 4-vectors, each of which can correspond to a combination of events as well as to a particular event: Relationships such as $\alpha\vec{f} + \beta\vec{g} \backsim \vec{h}$ entail an equation $\alpha\mathbf{f} + \beta\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{h}$ for the corresponding 4-vectors. Evidently, the base column vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}$$

of each A system correspond to their respective base 4-vectors $\mathbf{e}_t^{(\mathrm{A})}, \mathbf{e}_x^{(\mathrm{A})}, \mathbf{e}_y, \mathbf{e}_z$, so that one can write

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = ct^{(\mathrm{A})}\mathbf{e}_t^{(\mathrm{A})} + x^{(\mathrm{A})}\mathbf{e}_x^{(\mathrm{A})} + y\mathbf{e}_y + z\mathbf{e}_z = ct^{(\mathrm{B})}\mathbf{e}_t^{(\mathrm{B})} + x^{(\mathrm{B})}\mathbf{e}_x^{(\mathrm{B})} + y\mathbf{e}_y + z\mathbf{e}_z = \ldots$$

and, due to the structure of (1), define the dot product

$$(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_2) \equiv -c^2 t_1^{(\mathrm{A})} t_2^{(\mathrm{A})} + x_1^{(\mathrm{A})} x_2^{(\mathrm{A})} + y_1 y_2 + z_1 z_2 = -c^2 t_1^{(\mathrm{B})} t_2^{(\mathrm{B})} + x_1^{(\mathrm{B})} x_2^{(\mathrm{B})} + y_1 y_2 + z_1 z_2 = \ldots$$

of two 4-vectors. Here the labels of the coordinate system are omitted for the quantities related to y and z coordinates because they do not actually depend on the coordinate system.

The expert reader can identify the above presentation with an introduction to the truncated version of Minkowski space, associated with one boost direction. Meanwhile, the description of Minkowski space in common textbooks[2] mentions no restrictions and appears as a postulate rather than an inference. Such an approach makes the presentation of the related formalism easy but leaves users of the formalism without any indication to the

domain of its validity. (In this article, there is no point in mentioning any texts on axiomatization of Minkowski space because they all ignore the basics of the theoretical analysis, i.e. sorting physical phenomena by their temporal and spatial scales, by the characteristics of interactions involved etc.)

Historically, the tranformation (5) appeared as a consequence of the well known physical regularities such as the law of propagation of an electromagnetic wave. By taking various boost directions into account, one can hope to arrive at the full version of Minkowski space without modifying the original premises.

At first glance, in order to extend the preceding reasoning one should invoke the matrices

$$\mathbb{M}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{(\mathrm{A})} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{-1}\left(\frac{\vec{v}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\mathrm{A}}}{v}\right)\mathbb{L}(v)\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\vec{v}^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\mathrm{B}}}{v}\right), \quad v \equiv \left|\vec{v}^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\mathrm{B}}\right| = \left|\vec{v}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\mathrm{A}}\right| \tag{6}$$

where the rotation matrices $\mathbb{R}\left(\vec{v}^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\mathrm{B}}/v\right)$ secure that

$$\vec{\rho}^{(\mathrm{B})} = \mathbb{M}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{(\mathrm{A})}\vec{\rho}^{(\mathrm{A})} \tag{7}$$

is the transformation from the A system where the velocity of B is represented by the column vector $\vec{v}^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\mathrm{B}}$ to the B system where the velocity of A is represented by the column vector $\vec{v}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\mathrm{A}}$.

As soon as one establishes the relationships

$$\mathbb{M}^{(\mathrm{A})}_{(\mathrm{A})} = \mathbb{I}, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbb{M}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{(\mathrm{A})} = \mathbb{M}^{(\mathrm{A})}_{(\mathrm{B})} \tag{9}$$

$$\mathbb{M}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{(\mathrm{A})} = \mathbb{M}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{(\mathrm{G})}\mathbb{M}^{(\mathrm{G})}_{(\mathrm{A})}, \tag{10}$$

similar to Eqs. (2)-(4), it proves the existence of Minkowski space.

However, the above-described procedure is too awkward to be realized directly and appears especially inconvenient when one is going to extend the theory by modifying its premises. Moreover, dealing with the rotation matrices $\mathbb{R}\left(\vec{v}^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\mathrm{B}}/v\right)$ in Eqs. (8)-(10) would not be only ponderous but also theoretically useless action since most of the work would have been the same as in the proof of the existence of a true position vector on the basis of its Cartesian coordinates, which is contrary to the idea that true spatial vectors have their own foundation in physics as the additive representation of translations and entail the existence of spatial coordinates.

The next section delineates the coordinate-free introduction to the special relativity theory, which results in the transformation of the time moment and the physically important components of the position vector of a given event from one frame to another. Section III exploites these formulas to make the physically reasonable proof of the existence of Minkowski space.

II. COORDINATE-FREE INTRODUCTION TO THE SPECIAL RELATIVITY THEORY

A. Preliminary remarks

One of the fundamental premises of any classical theory is the possibility of inertial frames as sets of such physical objects and entities that the regularities governing them are able to realize the concepts and rules of Eucidean geometry, independently of the evolution, i.e. changing other entities with time t.

Within each frame, the translation operations allow one to define a displacement $\Delta\mathbf{r}$ and, with an aid of an appropriate linear combination of displacements, any other spatial vector $\mathbf{q}$. The rotation operation can underlie the description of an angle between two vectors $\mathbf{q}_1$ and $\mathbf{q}_2$, which lead us to the well known coordinate-free definition of the dot product $(\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2)$.

Euclidean points of an arbitrary frame F may be in a rectilinear uniform translational motion with respect to other frames but this motion cannot reveal itself in terms of (any relations between) quantities defined within F. This statement can be referred to as the relativity principle.

Looking into early presentations of the special relativity theory, one can identify the following physics premise for the formal derivation of (5): the law of motion of a free particle and the laws of propagation of a free electromagnetic field have the same form in all frames.[7] In the coordinte-free fomulation, this means that in a frame F the position $\mathbf{r}_a^{(\mathrm{F})}$ of a free particle is changing along with the time $t^{(\mathrm{F})}$ as

$$\mathbf{r}_a^{(\mathrm{F})} = \mathbf{r}_{a0}^{(\mathrm{F})} + \mathbf{v}_a^{(\mathrm{F})} t^{(\mathrm{F})} \tag{11}$$

while the positions $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{F})}$ taken by an electromagnetic wavefront (wave phase-front) at the

time $t^{(\mathrm{F})}$ from a point source flashed at the position $\mathbf{r}_0^{(\mathrm{F})}$ at the time $t_0^{(\mathrm{F})}$ satisfy

$$\left(\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{F})} - \mathbf{r}_0^{(\mathrm{F})}\right)^2 = c^2 \left(t^{(\mathrm{F})} - t_0^{(\mathrm{F})}\right)^2. \tag{12}$$

The propagation speed c of an electromagnetic spherical wavefront is the same in all frames.

As long as the goals of one's inference are limited by the derivation of the transformation equivalent to (5), one can confine oneself with the limiting form of Eq. (12) for an infinitely far source position, i.e. with the equation

$$\left(\mathbf{n}^{(\mathrm{F})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{F})}\right) - ct^{(\mathrm{F})} = \mathrm{const} \tag{13}$$

for a plane wavefront which propagates in the direction of the unit vector $\mathbf{n}^{(\mathrm{F})}$.

One can view the above statements as a partial realization of Einstein's original intention to extend the principle of relativity to the description of electromagnetic phenomena with an aid of Maxwell equations. Meanwhile, the next generation of authors has been able to dispense not only with Maxwell equations but also with Eq. (12) in their introductions to the relativity theory. In the post-Einsteinian derivations of the transformation (5) one finds the principle of relativity gently replaced by the requirement to preserve the form of Eq. (11) supplemented with the condition

$$\left(\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{F})} \cdot \mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{F})}\right) = c^2 \tag{14}$$

to include "the motion of a light signal" (in effect, the propagation of the intersection point of an electromagnetic plane wavefront with one of its associated ray paths.)[10] As a result, the physics that underlies Einstein's special relativity theory has been reduced to that of free point particles along with the universal limitation on their speed, equal to c in all frames.

The use of free particles in a reasoning is evidently restricted by the processes of particles' interaction. But as long as one neglects the extention and the duration of those processes, one can view an interaction act as a representation of a basic identifiable entity usually referred to as an event. In other words, each event appears to be real or possible interception of two (or more) free particles. Then one can exploit experimentally identifiable relations between motions of free particles to establish relations between events. If need, the interaction between particles can be assumed so small as not to change their motion. (In general, one need exploiting Eq. (11) for the motion of each particle a between the points of interception, where the parameters $\mathbf{v}_a^{(\mathrm{F})}$ may change.) This technique along with the basic appliance of the relativity principle is a main tool used in the next section.

B. Boost direction

For any pair of frames A and B, there are the velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}$ of A with respect to B and, vice versa, the velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}$. Since each of these vectors is defined as a spatial object in its own frame, there can be no procedure of comparing them directly. Nevertheless, since all frames are supposed to be identical in their essential internal properties, one should accept

$$|\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}| = |\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}| \equiv v \tag{15}$$

due to the symmetry of exchanging A and B. This should be also considered as a part of establishing universal time unit since one cannot be sure that a nonrelativistic standard clocks keeps its rate when set in fast motion.

Let $\mathcal{LM}$ denote a process that starts with an event $\mathcal{M}$ and ends with an event $\mathcal{L}$, and let a number $t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{LM})$ denote the elapsed time in an arbitrary frame F, so that

$$t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{LM} \cap \mathcal{MN}) = t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{LM}) + t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{MN}) \tag{16}$$

and $t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{LM}) = -t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{ML})$.

If in the frame A one has $t^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathcal{LM}) = t^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathcal{RS})$ for arbitrary events $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{S}$, then in the frame B one finds the same equality $t^{(\mathrm{B})}(\mathcal{LM}) = t^{(\mathrm{B})}(\mathcal{RS})$ because the contrary would allow one to judge about the motion of B with respect to A on the basis of internal data in B, in contradiction with the principle of relativity. Further generalization is possible if one exploits Eq. (16) to partition a process into a series of shorter processes over even intervals of time: $t^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathcal{LM}) = \sigma t^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathcal{RS})$ entails $t^{(\mathrm{B})}(\mathcal{LM}) = \sigma t^{(\mathrm{B})}(\mathcal{RS})$ for any natural, rational and, finally, real σ. It follows that for any frame F the relation $t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{LM})/t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{RS})$ shows no dependence on the frame while for any process $\mathcal{LM}$ the relation $t^{(\mathrm{B})}(\mathcal{LM})/t^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathcal{LM})$ depends only on v defined by Eq. (15).

Let a point body a resting in the frame A and a point body b resting in the frame B meet each other at the event $\mathcal{O}$ and let another freely moving point body g meet a and b at the events $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively. By making use of the laws (11) of free motion, one can get an expression

$$\mathbf{v}_g^{(\mathrm{F})} = \alpha \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{F})} + (1-\alpha)\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{F})} \tag{17}$$

for the velocity $\mathbf{v}_g^{(\mathrm{F})}$ of the body g in the frame F where

$$\alpha = \frac{t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{AO})}{t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{AO}) - t^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathcal{BO})}$$

is actually independent of F in accordance with the previous analysis.

Eq. (17) allows one to define a one-parametric family of frames G[α] where g is at rest while the bodies a and b move along the common straight line in opposite directions. Hereinafter this line is referred to as a boost line of a frame G[α] for a given α, and the whole family G[α] is referred to as a helicoboost class of frames, specified by its two members A and B.

If one considers two different values $\alpha = \alpha_1$ and $\alpha = \alpha_2$ along with two corresponding bodies g_1 and g_2 and applies Eq. (17) to the motion of the body g_2 in the frame G[α_1], one can find that g_2 moves just along the boost line of G[α_1]. Since G[α_1] is an arbitrary member of the helicoboost class and g_2 can represent an arbitrary point body (or even a light signal) that moves along the boost line in G[α_1], the boost lines in different frames of one helicoboost class prove equivalent in a sense: Any point bodies that stay (moving or resting) on the boost line in one frame of a given helicoboost class remain (moving or resting) on the boost line in another frame of the same helicoboost class.

If, in addition to the bodies a and b, one chooses more representatives of the frames A and B, one gets more parallel boost lines. To avoid specifying particular boost lines in a reasoning, one can invoke the direction of $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}$ or $-\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}$, which represents a bundle of parallel boost lines in each member G of the helicoboost class. Hereinafter this direction is referred to as a boost direction.

It is possible to define both a boost direction and a helicoboost class of frames without prior reference to its two members: If there are a frame where a set of free point bodies have their velocities parallel or antiparallel to each other, then there are other frames where velocities of the these bodies are also parallel or anti-parallel to each other; an equivalence class of such frames is a helicoboost class; the direction of motion of such free bodies in each frame of the helicoboost class is a boost direction.

C. Spatial transverse effect

The motion of light signals along the boost direction in one frame of a given helicoboost class is an important limiting case of the motions considered in the previous section. Since the light signals represent the propagation of electromagnetic plane wavefronts (13), one can conclude that they propagate along the boost direction in any frame of the helicoboost

class. It follows that simultaneous events in a plane perpendicular to the boost direction in one frame appear simultaneous in any other frame of the same helicoboost class, where they also occupy a plane perpendicular to the boost direction.

Let the locations of the above simultaneous events make a certain instantaneous arrangement over the plane wavefront. To represent it as a stationary geometric configuration, one should consider intersections some bundle of boost lines with a dense series of parallel wavefronts which propagate along the boost direction. Since such wavefronts and the boost lines are observable in any frame of the helicoboost class, so is the stationary planar configuration they generate. The possibility of the common geometric configuration shows that the observers in different frames can come to agreement with each other about the orientation of their frames around the boost direction or, in other words, to another equivalence relation between two frames. This relation allows one to partition the helicoboost class into subclasses of identically oriented frames. In the following, a subclass of this kind is referred to as a boost class.

To present the above equivalence relation in terms of a relative position vector, one can write:

$$(\Delta\mathbf{r})_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{A})} \backsim (\Delta\mathbf{r})_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{B})} . \tag{18}$$

Here and in the rest of Section II, the notation

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}^{(\mathrm{A})} &= q_{\parallel}^{(\mathrm{A})}\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}}{v} + \mathbf{q}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{A})}, \qquad q_{\parallel}^{(\mathrm{A})} \equiv \frac{(\mathbf{q}^{(\mathrm{A})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})})}{v}, \\ \mathbf{q}^{(\mathrm{B})} &= -q_{\parallel}^{(\mathrm{B})}\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}}{v} + \mathbf{q}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{B})}, \quad -q_{\parallel}^{(\mathrm{B})} \equiv \frac{(\mathbf{q}^{(\mathrm{B})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})})}{v} \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{19}$$

describes the decompositions of spatial vectors $\mathbf{q}^{(\mathrm{A})}$ and $\mathbf{q}^{(\mathrm{B})}$ in their respective frames.

Since the symbol $(\Delta\mathbf{r})_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{A})}$ in Eq. (18) denotes an arbitrary relative position vector, which connects two arbitrary points in a plane perpendicular to $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}$, one can view Eq. (18) as a notation for mapping a geometric configuration in the frame A to that in the frame B. Meanwhile, this mapping can be presented in terms of spatial vectors only, without any explicit reference to the concept of Euclidean points: one can simply define the relation "$\backsim$" so that

$$\mathbf{f}_1^{(\mathrm{A})} \backsim \mathbf{g}_1^{(\mathrm{B})} \text{ and } \mathbf{f}_2^{(\mathrm{A})} \backsim \mathbf{g}_2^{(\mathrm{B})} \text{ entail } \mathbf{f}_1^{(\mathrm{A})} + \mathbf{f}_2^{(\mathrm{A})} \backsim \mathbf{g}_1^{(\mathrm{B})} + \mathbf{g}_2^{(\mathrm{B})} \tag{20}$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}_3^{(\mathrm{A})} \backsim \mathbf{g}_3^{(\mathrm{B})} \text{ and } \mathbf{f}_4^{(\mathrm{A})} \backsim \mathbf{g}_4^{(\mathrm{B})} \text{ entail } \left(\mathbf{f}_3^{(\mathrm{A})}\cdot\mathbf{f}_4^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) = \left(\mathbf{g}_3^{(\mathrm{B})}\cdot\mathbf{g}_4^{(\mathrm{B})}\right) \tag{21}$$

for any spatial vectors $\mathbf{f}_i^{(\mathrm{A})}$ in the frame A and their counterparts $\mathbf{g}_i^{(\mathrm{B})}$ in the frame B.

D. Spatial longitudinal effect

Suppose that in the frame A point bodies P, Q, R, S, ... are moving with the same velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}$ while momentarily (detected as) arranged in a straight line along the boost direction, i.e. parallel to $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}$. In the frame B these point bodies are at rest in a straight line along the boost direction. If in the frame A one has $l_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathrm{PQ}) = l_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathrm{RS})$, then in the frame B one finds the correspondent equality $l_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{PQ}) = l_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{RS})$ due to the relativity principle. Here the notation $l_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathrm{GH})$ refers to a distance between moving bodies G and H momentarily observed in a frame A while $l_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{GH})$ denotes a distance between stationary bodies G and H in a frame B. If one exploits partitioning a line segment in a manner similar to the division (16) of a time interval, one can eventually come to the similar conclusion that $l_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathrm{PQ}) = \sigma l_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathrm{RS})$ entails $l_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{PQ}) = \sigma l_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{RS})$ for any natural, rational and, finally, real σ. It follows that for any frame F of the boost class the relation $l_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathrm{PQ})/l_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{F})}(\mathrm{RS})$ shows no dependence on the frame while for any pair of bodies P and Q stationary in the frame B the relation $l_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{PQ})/l_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathrm{PQ})$ depends only on v.

The application of the above result is not bounded by a comparison of the distances between two bodies in the two frames. In fact, the distance $l_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}$ between two moving point bodies in the frame A is a distance between two *simultaneous* events of detecting these bodies in the frame A. In the frame B, these events are not necessarily simultaneous but happening to the same bodies. So the distance l_{B} between the bodies is a distance between the events, too. Thus, the relation

$$\frac{l_{\mathrm{B}}}{l_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}} = K(v) \tag{22}$$

is the same for any pair of events in the straight line along the boost direction, provided that they are simultaneous in the frame A.

Let simultaneous elementary events in the frame A occur over the length l_{A} in a straight line along the boost direction, i.e. along the direction of motion of the frame B. Physically, they together may be an act of detecting a rigid rod embedded into the frame A. If a flashlight occurs in the middle of the rod, it takes the same time interval $t_{\mathrm{A}} = l_{\mathrm{A}}/2c$ for that light to get to each end of the rod. When instantaneously observed in the frame B, the length of the rod appears to be $l_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}$. The arrivals of the above mentioned flashlight at the

ends of the moving rod are not simultaneous in the frame B: along the direction of the rod's motion the time difference makes

$$\Delta t^{(\mathrm{B})} = \frac{l_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}/2}{c+v} - \frac{l_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}/2}{c-v} = -\gamma^2 \frac{v l_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}}{c^2} \tag{23}$$

while the distance between these events is

$$l_{\mathrm{B}} = c\frac{l_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}/2}{c+v} + c\frac{l_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}/2}{c-v} = \gamma^2 l_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \tag{24}$$

The equations (23) and (24) take account of the possibility that in different frames a set of the same events may occupy segments of different sizes along their boost direction. Considering the events involved in the inference and the formulation of Eqs. (23) and (24) one can find that $l_{\mathrm{A}} = K(v) l_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}$ and $l_{\mathrm{B}} = K(v) l_{\mathrm{A}}$ in accordance with Eq. (22). Then Eq. (24) entails $K(v) = \gamma$, i.e. the length contraction effect

$$l_{\mathrm{A}} = \gamma l_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \tag{25}$$

E. Time dilation effect

To get to another well known effect, one can exploit such thought construct as the light clock[12], where a light pulse propagates back and forth between two mirrors held parallel and apart at the fixed distance l_0 in their rest frame. In that frame, the line of the light propagation is perpendicular to the mirrors and can be referred to as the axis of the clock. The proper duration of the clock's cycle, i.e. the round-trip time of the light pulse in the clock's rest frame, makes

$$\Delta\tau = \frac{2l_0}{c}. \tag{26}$$

Let an observer be moving with a speed v in the light clock's rest frame and along the axis of the light clock. The observer can detect the contracted length $l = l_0/\gamma$ of the light clock and find that the clock's cycle takes

$$\Delta t = \frac{l}{c-v} + \frac{l}{c+v} = 2\gamma^2 l/c = 2\gamma l_0/c. \tag{27}$$

Here it is worth remarking that the light clock is an axiliary dedicated thought construct, which can in no way include the actual reflections of an electromagnetic wave from substance of the mirrors but embodies the properly collated sequence of events only (for an alternative

exposition, see, e.g., the derivation of the formula (3) at p. 103 in Ref. 8.) This means that Eq. (26) and Eq. (27) together entail the time dilation effect

$$\Delta t = \gamma \Delta \tau, \tag{28}$$

which gives the laboratory time interval Δt between two events at a moving point during its given proper time interval $\Delta \tau$.

F. Retardation effect

Eq. (18) suggests that one can generalize Eq. (25) and Eq. (23): If in the frame A simultaneous events occur in the transversal spatial slab $\Delta r_{\parallel}^{(\mathrm{A})}$ thick, then in the frame B they occur within the slab

$$\Delta r_{\parallel}^{(\mathrm{B})} = \gamma \Delta r_{\parallel}^{(\mathrm{A})} \tag{29}$$

and with the time spread

$$\Delta t^{(\mathrm{B})} = -\gamma \frac{v \Delta r_{\parallel}^{(\mathrm{A})}}{c^2}. \tag{30}$$

G. Coordinate-free transformation between two frames

To describe a transformaton rule from the frame B to the frame A in terms of relation between the position vectors $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})}$ and $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})}$ of a given event $\mathcal{E}$ one should first of all identify the place of a common reference event $\mathcal{O}$ with the origins $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} = 0$ and $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})} = 0$ of the frames A and B as well as its time moment with the readings $t^{(\mathrm{A})} = 0$ and $t^{(\mathrm{B})} = 0$ of their clocks.

Let two elementary events occur in the frame A at a given time moment $t^{(\mathrm{A})}$: an event $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{A}}$ occurs at the origin $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} = 0$ while $\mathcal{E}$ occurs at $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \neq 0$. Due to the time dilation effect, the moment of observing $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{A}}$ in the frame B equals to the reading $\gamma t^{(\mathrm{A})}$ of a clock fixed at the origin $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})} = 0$. Due to the time spread effect (30), in the frame B the difference in time between $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{A}}$

$$\Delta t^{(\mathrm{B})} = -\gamma \frac{v r_{\parallel}^{(\mathrm{A})}}{c^2}.$$

Therefore, the time moment of observing $\mathcal{E}$ in the frame B

$$t^{(\mathrm{B})} = \gamma t^{(\mathrm{A})} - \gamma \frac{v r_{\parallel}^{(\mathrm{A})}}{c^2}. \tag{31}$$

Further, if in the frame B the plane $\Pi_{\rm B}$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}$ and keeps passing through the origin $\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}=0$, then, in accordance with the analysis in Section II B, in the frame A it forms the plane $\Pi_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}$ perpendicular to $\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}$ and moving with this velocity. Evidently, the distance from the event $\mathcal{E}$ to the plane $\Pi_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}$ makes $\Delta r_{\parallel}^{\rm (A)}=r_{\parallel}^{\rm (A)}-vt^{\rm (A)}$. If $\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}$ denotes the position of $\mathcal{E}$ in the frame B, then the length contraction effect (29) helps us conclude that in the frame B the distance from the event $\mathcal{E}$ to the plane $\Pi_{\rm B}$ is

$$r_{\parallel}^{\rm (B)}=\gamma\left(r_{\parallel}^{\rm (A)}-vt^{\rm (A)}\right) \tag{32}$$

while Eq. (18) yields

$$\mathbf{r}_{\perp}^{\rm (A)}\backsim\mathbf{r}_{\perp}^{\rm (B)}. \tag{33}$$

Within the described coordinate-free approach, the relationships (31), (32) and (33) replace the transformation (5). To show the dependence on the frames A and B explicitly one can also rewrite these relationships as

$$t^{\rm (B)}=\gamma_{\rm AB}\left[t^{\rm (A)}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\right)}{c^2}\right], \tag{34}$$

$$-\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{v_{\rm AB}}=\gamma_{\rm AB}\left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\right)}{v_{\rm AB}}-v_{\rm AB}t^{\rm (A)}\right], \tag{35}$$

$$\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}}{v_{\rm AB}^2}\backsim\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}}{v_{\rm AB}^2}. \tag{36}$$

Here the definition (19) and the notation

$$v_{\rm AB}\equiv\left|\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\right|=\left|\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right|,\quad \gamma_{\rm AB}\equiv\gamma\left(v_{\rm AB}\right) \tag{37}$$

are used instead of Eq. (15).

It is worth remarking that the transformation rules (35) and (36) differ from the vector-like relationship known in the literature[14] because the latter actually deals with column vectors made of Cartesian coordinates of true vectors and appears identical to the so called boost coordinate transformation.[16] However, in contrast to Eq. (6), this transformation implies the special, apparently unphysical, choice of the coordinate systems where the column vectors $-\vec{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}$ and $\vec{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}$ are equal. Therefore, such a transformation proves to be completely irrelevant to the question under consideration.

In a more customary form, one can write the transformation (34)-(36) as the mapping

$$\begin{pmatrix} ct^{(\mathrm{B})} \\ \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})} \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{M}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{(\mathrm{A})} \odot \begin{pmatrix} ct^{(\mathrm{A})} \\ \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \end{pmatrix} \tag{38}$$

where

$$\mathbf{M}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{(\mathrm{A})} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\mathrm{AB}} & -\gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\mathrm{B}}/c \\ \gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\mathrm{A}}/c & 1-\gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\mathrm{A}} \otimes \mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\mathrm{B}}/v^2_{\mathrm{AB}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{39}$$

the symbol $\leftrightarrow$ unites the meaning of $=$ and the meaning of $\backsim$ while the symbol $\odot$ unites the meaning of the usual product of two numbers and the meaning of the dot product of two spatial vectors, the symbol $\otimes$ denotes the dyadic (outer) product.

As soon as one shows that Eq. (38) is an equivalence relation in the set of all frames, one arrives at a 4-vector $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ of a given event as an equivalence class of columns $\begin{pmatrix} ct \\ \mathbf{r} \end{pmatrix}$ that indicate to that event in their frames. Then the set of all $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ makes the full version of Minkowski space.

III. PROOF OF EXISTENCE OF MINKOWSKI SPACE

A. What requires verification?

The mapping (38) is evidently reflexive. To show its symmetry, one could resolve Eqs. (34) and (35) with respect to $t^{(\mathrm{A})}$ and $(\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{A})}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})})$, taking Eq. (37) into account. However, this action would be redundant since the symmetry of exchanging two frames is physically evident and should be considered as a premise rather than an inference. Thus, to show that the mapping (38) is an equivalence relation, one need verifying only its transitivity. Similar to Eq. (10), one can present the transitivity of (38) as

$$\mathbf{M}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{(\mathrm{A})} = \mathbf{M}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{(\mathrm{G})} \odot \mathbf{M}^{(\mathrm{G})}_{(\mathrm{A})}, \tag{40}$$

but it actually means that

$$t^{(\mathrm{B})} = \gamma_{\mathrm{BG}} \left[t^{(\mathrm{G})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{G})}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)}{c^2} \right], \tag{41}$$

$$-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{B})}_{\mathrm{G}} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)}{v_{\mathrm{BG}}} = \gamma_{\mathrm{BG}} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}^{(\mathrm{G})}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)}{v_{\mathrm{BG}}} - v_{\mathrm{BG}} t^{(\mathrm{G})} \right], \tag{42}$$

$$\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})}}{v_{\mathrm{BG}}^2} \backsim \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}}{v_{\mathrm{BG}}^2} \tag{43}$$

and

$$t^{(\mathrm{G})} = \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left[t^{(\mathrm{A})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right)}{c^2} \right], \tag{44}$$

$$-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}} = \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right)}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}} - v_{\mathrm{AG}} t^{(\mathrm{A})} \right], \tag{45}$$

$$\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2} \backsim \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2} \tag{46}$$

entail Eqs. (34)-(36).

B. Auxiliary relationships for the frame velocities

In accordance with the definition of the velocities $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})}$, the motion $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} = 0$ of the origin in the frame G is observed as the motion $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} t^{(\mathrm{A})}$ in the frame A and as the motion $\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} t^{(\mathrm{B})}$ in the frame B. With applying the transformation (34)-(36) to this set of events, one finds

$$t^{(\mathrm{B})} = \gamma_{\mathrm{AB}} \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right)}{c^2} \right] t^{(\mathrm{A})}, \tag{47}$$

$$-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)}{v_{\mathrm{AB}}} t^{(\mathrm{B})} = \gamma_{\mathrm{AB}} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right)}{v_{\mathrm{AB}}} - v_{\mathrm{AB}} \right] t^{(\mathrm{A})}, \tag{48}$$

$$\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}}{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2} \right] t^{(\mathrm{B})} \backsim \left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}}{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2} \right] t^{(\mathrm{A})}. \tag{49}$$

Transposing A↔B in Eq. (47) (which means the use of the transformation inverse to (34)-(36) in the above calculation) yields

$$t^{(\mathrm{A})} = \gamma_{\mathrm{AB}} \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)}{c^2} \right] t^{(\mathrm{B})}. \tag{50}$$

Then one can eliminate $t^{(\mathrm{A})}$ and $t^{(\mathrm{B})}$ in Eq. (47) and Eq. (50) to obtain

$$1 = \gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}^2 \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right)}{c^2} \right] \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)}{c^2} \right]. \tag{51}$$

With transposing B↔G and A↔G in Eq. (51), one can also find

$$1=\gamma_{\rm AG}^2\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\right)}{c^2}\right]\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right] \tag{52}$$

and

$$1=\gamma_{\rm BG}^2\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{c^2}\right]\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]. \tag{53}$$

In a similar manner, one can start from Eq. (47) and Eq. (48) to go to the relationships

$$\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\right)}{v_{\rm AG}^2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]=1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{v_{\rm AG}^2}, \tag{54}$$

$$\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\right)}{v_{\rm AB}^2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{c^2}\right]=1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{v_{\rm AB}^2}, \tag{55}$$

$$\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{v_{\rm BG}^2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]=1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{v_{\rm BG}^2}. \tag{56}$$

It is easy see that Eq. (47) and Eq. (49) entail

$$\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}}{v_{\rm AB}^2}\backsimeq\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}}{v_{\rm AB}^2}}{\gamma_{\rm AB}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\right)}{c^2}\right]}. \tag{57}$$

In addition, transposing B↔G turns Eq. (57) into

$$\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}}{v_{\rm AG}^2}\backsimeq\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}}{v_{\rm AG}^2}}{\gamma_{\rm AG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\right)}{c^2}\right]}. \tag{58}$$

Combining Eqs. (51)-(53) yields

$$\gamma_{\rm AB}=\gamma_{\rm AG}\gamma_{\rm BG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]. \tag{59}$$

There are the identities possible due to the symmetry of exchanging the frames: Since transposing any two of A and B and G does not change the r.h.s. of the equation

$$\gamma_{\rm AG}^{-2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{c^2}\right]=\frac{1}{\gamma_{\rm AB}\gamma_{\rm AG}\gamma_{\rm BG}},$$

it entails the identities

$$\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}}^{-2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)}{c^2}\right]=\gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}^{-2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)}{c^2}\right] \tag{60}$$

and

$$\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}}^{-2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)}{c^2}\right]=\gamma_{\mathrm{GB}}^{-2}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right)}{c^2}\right]. \tag{61}$$

Similarly, the equation

$$\frac{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2v_{\mathrm{BG}}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)^2}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}^2c^4}=\frac{2}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}}\gamma_{\mathrm{BG}}}-\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}^2\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}}^2}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}^2\gamma_{\mathrm{BG}}^2}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}}^2\gamma_{\mathrm{BG}}^2}\right)+\frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}^2\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}}^2\gamma_{\mathrm{BG}}^2}$$

produces

$$\frac{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2v_{\mathrm{BG}}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)^2}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AB}}^2}=\frac{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2v_{\mathrm{BG}}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)^2}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}}^2} \tag{62}$$

and

$$\frac{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2v_{\mathrm{BG}}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)^2}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}}^2}=\frac{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right)^2}{\gamma_{\mathrm{BG}}^2}. \tag{63}$$

C. Auxiliary expression for a longitudinal length

The transformation rule (42) presents a length $\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)/v_{\mathrm{BG}}$ along a given boost direction $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}$ in terms of quantities defined in a frame G, associated with that direction. Meanwhile, the calculations in the following sections require to express a length along a given boost direction via quantities defined in an arbitrary third frame. In other words, one needs to express the dot product $\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)$ in the frame A.

To do the required calculation, one cannot but address the decompositions

$$\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})}=\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2}$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2}$$

and then apply the transformation rule (45), the relations (46) and (58) with an aid of (21):

$$\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})}\right)=$$

$$= \left(\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2} \right] \cdot \left[\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})}}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2} \right] \right) + \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right)}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right) - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right)}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right)}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right)}{c^2} \right]} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right)}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}} \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right)}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}} - v_{\mathrm{AG}} t^{(\mathrm{A})} \right] =$$

$$= \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) t^{(\mathrm{A})} + \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right)}{c^2} \right] \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right) -$$

$$-\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right)}{c^2} \right] \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right)}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right) - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right)}{v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2} \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} =$$

$$= \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) t^{(\mathrm{A})} - \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right) + \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right)}{c^2} \right] \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right).$$

Here the identities (52) and (54) are also used.

Thus,

$$\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) =$$

$$= \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) t^{(\mathrm{A})} - \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right) + \gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right)}{c^2} \right] \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right). \quad (64)$$

Transposing B↔A and then G↔B turns this equation into

$$\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})} \right) =$$

$$= \gamma_{\mathrm{BG}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \right) t^{(\mathrm{G})} - \gamma_{\mathrm{BG}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) + \gamma_{\mathrm{BG}} \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \right)}{c^2} \right] \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right). \quad (65)$$

Due to the transformation rule (45) one has

$$\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) = -\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}} \left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \right) - v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2 t^{(\mathrm{A})} \right] \quad (66)$$

With transposing A↔B, one can obtain

$$\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) = -\gamma_{\mathrm{BG}} \left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})} \right) - v_{\mathrm{BG}}^2 t^{(\mathrm{B})} \right] \quad (67)$$

and

$$\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{G})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{G})} \right) =$$

$$= \gamma_{\rm BG}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)t^{\rm (B)} - \gamma_{\rm BG}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\right) + \gamma_{\rm BG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right) \quad (68)$$

from Eq. (66) and Eq. (64).

Transposing G↔B turns Eq. (64) into

$$\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\right) =$$

$$= \gamma_{\rm AB}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)t^{\rm (A)} + \gamma_{\rm AB}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{c^2}\right]\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\right) - \gamma_{\rm AB}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\right). \quad (69)$$

D. Transitivity for the time transformation rule

To arrive at (34) one should simply combine (41) with (44) and then apply (64):

$$t^{\rm (B)} = \gamma_{\rm BG}\left[\gamma_{\rm AG}\left[t^{\rm (A)} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\right)}{c^2}\right] - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right] =$$

$$= \gamma_{\rm BG}\gamma_{\rm AG}t^{\rm (A)} - \gamma_{\rm BG}\frac{\gamma_{\rm AG}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\right) + \left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2} =$$

$$= \gamma_{\rm BG}\gamma_{\rm AG}t^{\rm (A)} - \gamma_{\rm BG}\gamma_{\rm AG}\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}t^{\rm (A)} - \frac{\gamma_{\rm BG}}{c^2}\gamma_{\rm AG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\right) =$$

$$= \gamma_{\rm AB}t^{\rm (A)} - \gamma_{\rm AB}\frac{\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}}{c^2}.$$

Here Eq. (59) is also used.

E. Transitivity for the longitudinal length transformation rule

To obtain (35) one can combine Eq. (65) with the transformation rules (45) and (44):

$$\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\right) = \left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)}\right)\gamma_{\rm BG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{c^2}\right] - \gamma_{\rm BG}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)}\right) +$$

$$+\gamma_{\rm BG}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)t^{\rm (G)} = -\gamma_{\rm AG}\left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\right) - v_{\rm AG}^2 t^{\rm (A)}\right]\gamma_{\rm BG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{c^2}\right] -$$

$$-\gamma_{\rm BG}\left[\gamma_{\rm AG}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)t^{\rm (A)}-\gamma_{\rm AG}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\right)+\gamma_{\rm AG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\right)\right]+$$

$$+\gamma_{\rm BG}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)\gamma_{\rm AG}\left[t^{\rm (A)}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\right)}{c^2}\right]=-\gamma_{\rm BG}\gamma_{\rm AG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\right)+$$

$$+t^{\rm (A)}\gamma_{\rm BG}\gamma_{\rm AG}\left[\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{c^2}\right]v_{\rm AG}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)+\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)\right]=$$

$$=-\gamma_{\rm AB}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\right)+t^{\rm (A)}\gamma_{\rm AB}v_{\rm AB}^2$$

The last equation uses Eq. (59) and Eq. (60) rewritten as

$$\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{c^2}\right]v_{\rm AG}^2+\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)=\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]v_{\rm AB}^2+\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right).$$

F. Transitivity for the transversal relation

It remains to show that Eq. (36) follows the transformations (41)-(43) and (44)-(46).

Let us consider the expression

$$\mathbf{E}^{\rm (G)}=\mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)}+\tau_{\rm A}\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}+\tau_{\rm B}\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}$$

where the numbers $\tau_{\rm A}$ and $\tau_{\rm B}$ secure that

$$\left(\mathbf{E}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)=0 \tag{70}$$

and

$$\left(\mathbf{E}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)=0 \tag{71}$$

Solving these equations with respect to $\tau_{\rm A}$ and $\tau_{\rm B}$ yields

$$\tau_{\rm A}=\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)-\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)v_{\rm BG}^2}{v_{\rm AG}^2v_{\rm BG}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)^2},$$

$$\tau_{\rm B}=\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)-\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)v_{\rm AG}^2}{v_{\rm AG}^2v_{\rm BG}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)^2}.$$

With an aid of the equations (66), (64), (67), (68) one can re-express $\tau_{\rm A}$ and $\tau_{\rm B}$ in terms of the variables defined in the frames A and B:

$$\tau_{\rm A} = \frac{\gamma_{\rm BG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]\left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\right)v_{\rm BG}^2\right]}{v_{\rm AG}^2v_{\rm BG}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)^2} \tag{72}$$

$$\tau_{\rm B} = \frac{\gamma_{\rm AG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\right)}{c^2}\right]\left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (A)}\right)-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (A)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (A)}\right)v_{\rm AG}^2\right]}{v_{\rm AG}^2v_{\rm BG}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)^2} \tag{73}$$

Here the equations (52), (53), (54), (56) are also used.

Due to Eq. (71) one can write

$$\mathbf{E}^{\rm (G)} = \mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}}{v_{\rm BG}^2} + \tau_{\rm A}\left[\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (G)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm B}^{\rm (G)}}{v_{\rm BG}^2}\right] \backsim$$

$$\backsim \mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}}{v_{\rm BG}^2} + \tau_{\rm A}\frac{\left[\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}}{v_{\rm BG}^2}\right]}{\gamma_{\rm BG}\left[1-\frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{c^2}\right]} =$$

$$= \mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}}{v_{\rm BG}^2} + \left[\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}}{v_{\rm BG}^2}\right]\times$$

$$\times\frac{\left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\right)v_{\rm BG}^2\right]}{v_{\rm AB}^2v_{\rm BG}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)^2}.$$

The last equation follows Eq. (72) along with Eq. (62).

The above last expression is perpendicular to $\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}$. To make it evident one needs to re-arrange the terms only. This yields

$$\mathbf{E}^{\rm (G)} \backsim \mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}}{v_{\rm AB}^2} + \left[\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}}{v_{\rm AB}^2}\right]T_{\rm B} \tag{74}$$

where

$$T_{\rm B} = \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\right)-v_{\rm AB}^2\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\rm (B)}\right)}{v_{\rm AB}^2v_{\rm BG}^2-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\rm A}^{\rm (B)}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\rm G}^{\rm (B)}\right)^2}. \tag{75}$$

With transposing A$\leftrightarrow$B in Eq. (74), one can also obtain

$$\mathbf{E}^{(\mathrm{G})} \backsim \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}}{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2} + \left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}}{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2}\right] T_{\mathrm{A}} \tag{76}$$

where

$$T_{\mathrm{A}} = \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) - v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2 \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right)}{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2 v_{\mathrm{AG}}^2 - \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right)^2}. \tag{77}$$

Meanwhile, Eq. (69) and the transformation rule (35) (aready derived in the previous section), with an aid of Eq. (55), entail

$$\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right) \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right) - v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2 \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right) =$$

$$= \gamma_{\mathrm{AB}} v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2 \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)}{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2}\right] \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) - \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)}{c^2}\right] \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) \right\} =$$

$$= \gamma_{\mathrm{AB}} \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)}{c^2}\right] \left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) - v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2 \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right)\right].$$

This relationship and Eq. (63) yield the relation

$$\frac{T_{\mathrm{B}}}{T_{\mathrm{A}}} = \gamma_{\mathrm{AB}} \left[1 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right)}{c^2}\right] \frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{BG}}^2}{\gamma_{\mathrm{AG}}^2} \tag{78}$$

between the quantities defined by Eq. (75) and Eq. (77). Then, due to Eq. (61) and Eq. (57), Eq. (78) leads us to the relation

$$\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{B})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(\mathrm{B})}}{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2}\right] T_{\mathrm{B}} \backsim \left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} - \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{A})} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}}{v_{\mathrm{AB}}^2}\right] T_{\mathrm{A}},$$

which, due to Eq. (74) and Eq. (76) and the property (20) results in Eq. (36).

IV. DISCUSSION

The key point that secures the existence of Minkowski space is the transitivity of the transformation (34)-(36), which follows the possibility of inertial reference frames and the

existence of particles able to interact with each other and electromagnetic field over a short spatial range only.

The intricasy of the proof in the proceeding section suggests that the generalization of Minkowski space for particles affected by universal external action, such as gravity, is beyond belief: Suppose, one has succeded in generalizing the concept of an inertial frame to allow for an arbitrary and evolving spatial geometry, perceived by some set of observers. But in order to arrive at the full spacetime one has no choice but to postulate the transitivity of the transformation from one set of observers to another, which is apparently an unnecessary and nonphysical restriction.

Meanwhile, it is becoming increasingly clear that the idea of spacetime is consistent with observational data only in conjunction with forced assumptions such as the presence of a considerable amount of unidentified dark matter/energy,[18–20] admittedly exotic, and/or various gravity modifications.[21]

Evidently, the most reasonable interpretation of observstions needs a theoretical approach as less restricted as possible. Thus, modifying or even relinquishing the concept of spacetime seems quite natural.

V. CONCLUSION

Interceptions of freely moving point particles make a class of events that shows the basic manifestations of the special relativity theory such as length contraction, time dilation, time retardation, spatial transversal invariance. The coordinate-free description of these well known effects results in the transformation between frames in the form of relationships between the time moments and physically essential components of the position vector of a given event, the concepts of a frame and a spatial vector being determined by nonrelativistic physics independently of the concept of coordinates, too.

The direct calculations prove that the above transformation establishes the equivalence relation between column vectors made of the time moment and the position vector of a given event in each frame. This means the existence of Minkowski space, into which the set of all events is mapped. The unavoidable intricacy of that proof suggests that the extension of the full construct of Minkowski space beyond motions of point particles, capable of short-range interaction only, is beyond belief, so that modifying or even relinquishing the concept of

spacetime seems quite natural.

---

[1] As it is termed on p. 282 in Ref. 4.

[2] See, e.g., Section 11.6 in Ref. 3, Sections 7.4 an 7.5 in Ref. 4, §§2.2-2.4 in Ref. 5, p. 374 in Ref. 6 .

[3] John David Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd edition (Wiley, 1998)

[4] Herbert Goldstein, Charles Poole, and John Safko, *Classical Mechanics*, 3rd edition (Addison-Wesley, 2000)

[5] Charles W. Misner, Kip S. Thorne, John Archibald Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (Freeman, 1973)

[6] Walter Greiner, *Classical mechanics. Point particles and relativity*, (Springer, 200)

[7] The thought that first Newton's law has the same form in all inertial frames was viewed as trivial and, for this reason, exploited implicitly until the accurate presentation in Ref. 11 has appeared. In contrast, Einstein's idea that electromagnetic phenomena, such as the propagation of a spherical wave, was apparently perceived as nontrivial. So the statement that a spherical wavefront keeps its form in all frames appeared to be a popular explicit premise for the derivation of Lorentz transformation; see, e.g., p. 100 in Ref. 8 and p. 9 in Ref. 9.

[8] L. Silberstein, *The Theory of Relativity*, (Macmillan and Co., 1914)

[9] W. Pauli, *The Theory of Relativity*, (Pergamon Press, 1958)

[10] This is just the condition in the equations (8.05) and (8.06) at p. 21 in Ref. 11. Interestingly, Fock starts his consideration with the general wavefront equation, which, in principle, can describe non-spherical wavefronts, e.g., from two interfering point sources. But eventually he has narrowed his inference with no explicit reasoning.

[11] V. Fock, *The Theory of Space Time and Gravitation*, 2nd Revised Edition (Pergamon Press, 1964)

[12] The first description of the light clock is given at p. 293 in Ref. 13.

[13] Max von Laue, "Die Nordstrōmsche Gravitationstheorie. (Bericht.)," Jahrbuch der Radioaktivitāt and Elektronik **14**, 264-312 (1917)

[14] See, e.g., the footnote 24 at pp. 10 and 11 in Ref. 9 and the solution to Problem 1-3 at pp. 8 and 9 in Ref. 15.

[15] R. Hagedorn , *Relativistic Kinematics. A Guide to Kinematic Problems of High-energy*

Physics, (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1964)

[16] Cee Ref. 17 along with, e.g., Eq. (11.98) at p. 547 in Ref. 3.

[17] James T. Cushing, "Vector Lorentz Transformations," Am. J. Phys. **35**, 858-862 (1967)

[18] C. L. Bennet et al.,"Nine-year *Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP)* observation: final maps and results," ApJS **208**, 20 (2013)

[19] Planck Collaboration: P. A. R. Ade et al. "*Planck* 2013 results – I. Overview of products and scientific results." A& A **571**, A1 (2014)

[20] Planck Collaboration: P. A. R. Ade et al. "*Planck* 2015 results – XIII. Cosmological parameters." A& A **594**, A13 (2016)

[21] Planck Collaboration: P. A. R. Ade et al. "*Planck* 2015 results – XIV. Dark energy and modified gravity." A& A **594**, A14 (2016)